

www.bradford.gov.uk

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name		
Last Name	Carter	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	2	
Line 2	Pudsey	
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code	LS28	
Telephone Number	•	
Email Address		
Signature:		Date: 26 th March 2014

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

www.bradford.gov.uk

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B - YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which p	art of the Plan does thi	s representation	relate?		
Section	3, 4 and 5	Paragraph	Key Diagram -Location Strategy and Key page 66/7, 4.1.3, 5.3.22, 5.3.34, 5.3.35, 5.3.37, 5.3.42, 5.3.61, Appendix 6 Table 1 page 358, Appendix 6 Paragraph 1.9 Page 363	Policy	Sub-Area Policy BD1 C 1., Sub- Area Policy BD2 E and Policy HO2 B 2
4. Do you cor	nsider the Plan is:				
4 (1). Legally of	compliant	Yes		No	1
4 (2). Sound		Yes		No	Y
4 (3). Complie	s with the Duty to co-ope	rate Yes		No	~

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

www.bradford.gov.uk

I believe that the plan is fundamentally unsound because it will lead to the destruction of valuable green belt land and I would suggest that brown field alternatives have not been adequately explored.

Green Belt Protection

All of the land earmarked for development currently enjoys Green belt Protection. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPD) requires the same high level of protection to the Green Belt as in the previous Unitary Development Plan (UDP), and identifies five purposes served by the Green Belt. The Core Strategy does not reflect the importance of these – indeed they are not mentioned in the document; nor does it reflect any clear commitment for minimising green belt release.

Each of the Five Purposes will be compromised by the proposed Urban Extension to Holme Wood:

1. Prevent Spread of Urban Sprawl

The Green Belt currently controls effectively the growth of urban sprawl both between Tong and Holme Wood, and between the Metropolitan Districts of Bradford, Leeds and Kirklees. In particular the boundary to the green belt provided by Westgate Hill Street, Holme Lane and Ned Lane is adequate and defensible. The proposed sites and boundaries identified on the SHLAA plan for the Urban Extension are arbitrary and largely indefensible.

2. Prevent merger of Neighbouring Towns

Vital Lung: The green belt provides a vital countryside lung between the neighbouring authorities. The threat of coalescence between Bradford and Leeds was a key reason for the objection to the NDP and FED by Leeds Council. The threat of such coalescence has increased in the current plan with the inclusion of site SE101.

3. Safeguard the countryside from encroachment

Vital Countryside: The current boundaries enable the preservation of important countryside opportunities in the Tong Valley for residents of Bradford, Leeds and Kirklees. Bradford's concept of a major new highway to be constructed between Westgate Hill and Thornbury would further destroy important countryside, and threaten the ancient woodland at Black Carr Woods. My family and I regularly enjoy walking in this area and this is one of the main reasons why we chose to live in Pudsey. I know of many other families who, like ourselves really value the Green Belt land in the Tong Valley. It would be disastrous to limit opportunities that local residents have to enjoy the countryside.

4. Preserve the setting of Historic Towns

Tong and Fulneck: The ancient and historic communities of Tong and Fulneck and the recreational benefit that they offer to the substantial number of visitors who benefit from them require strong maintenance of the protection currently secured by the green belt land that surrounds them. Both are rightly identified as Conservation Areas, and both offer unique historical and cultural attraction within the largely urban life of West Yorkshire. Fulneck became the key settlement of the Moravian Community in the 18th century, and has retained much of its unique character. Tong is included in the Domesday Book; Tong Hall is a Grade One

www.bradford.gov.uk

listed Queen Anne building; Tong Church is also Grade One, has Saxon and Norman origins, and has original 18th century fittings and furnishings from its rebuild in 1727 by leading Methodist preacher, John Nelson. Tong Village has a wide range of other listed buildings and features.

5. Recycling of derelict and other urban land.

The need to give priority to brown field and other derelict sites has been a consistent and universal message from a wide range of politicians and campaigners in Bradford. However the challenges that exist in tackling this can motivate housing developers to seek access to alternative countryside sites that are more attractive and profitable to develop. The need therefore to maintain protection for the Tong Valley is vital to ensure that the substantial areas of Bradford land that needs regeneration is given priority.

 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

www.bradford.gov.uk

Please be as precise as possible.

necessary: ease note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to	
If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please	
necessary: ease note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure t	
ease note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure t	outline why you consider this to
ose who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the	
Signature: Date:	

www.bradford.gov.uk

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM
Bradford Council would like to find out the views of groups in the local community. Please help us to do this by filling in the form below. It will be separated from your representation above and will not be used for any purpose other than monitoring.
Please place an 'X' in the appropriate boxes.